Mass Sensing for the Advanced Fabrication of Nanomechanical Resonators
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ABSTRACT: We report on a nanomechanical engineering method to monitor matter growth in real time via e-beam electromechanical coupling. This method relies on the exceptional mass sensing capabilities of nanomechanical resonators. Focused electron beam-induced deposition (FEBID) is employed to selectively grow platinum particles at the free end of singly clamped nanotube cantilevers. The electron beam has two functions: it allows both to grow material on the nanotube and to track in real time the deposited mass by probing the noise-driven mechanical resonance of the nanotube. On the one hand, this detection method is highly effective as it can resolve mass deposition with a resolution in the zeptogram range; on the other hand, this method is simple to use and readily available to a wide range of potential users because it can be operated in existing commercial FEBID systems without making any modification. The presented method allows one to engineer hybrid nanomechanical resonators with precisely tailored functionalities. It also appears as a new tool for studying the growth dynamics of ultrathin nanostructures, opening new opportunities for investigating so far out-of-reach physics of FEBID and related methods.
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Nanomechanical devices are exquisite sensors of mass deposition1-4 and external forces.5-9 These sensing capabilities enabled advances in mass spectrometry,10-12 surface science,13-19 scanning probe microscopy,20,21 and magnetic resonance imaging.22-24 The highest sensitivity is achieved with carbon nanotube resonators4,8 because of their tiny mass compared to the other operational mechanical resonators. However, a general challenge with such small transducers is to provide them with a physical function, which can be, for example, magnetic, chemical, or optical. Conventional nanofabrication processes, such as electron-beam lithography and reactive-ion etching, are difficult to employ with such small suspended structures without altering their sensing capabilities. Developing new methods to engineer nanoscale resonators with high precision and providing them with a specific functionality is in high demand as it would enable a whole range of new technological and scientific applications.

In this work, we report a nanofabrication method enabling ultrasensitive, versatile functionalization of carbon nanotube resonators25-27 inside a scanning electron microscope (SEM). Using focused electron beam induced deposition (FEBID),28-32 we report the mass-controlled growth of Pt particles on carbon nanotube nanomechanical sensors, enabling their optomechanical funclialization.33 The deposited mass is tracked in real time by monitoring frequency changes of the noise-driven oscillations of the nanotube resonator. Measuring the nanomechanical vibrations relies on
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e-beam electromechanical coupling\textsuperscript{34,35} and is accomplished using the same electron-beam as that used for FEBID. We demonstrate the high sensitivity and versatility of this method, which enables us to address mass changes over more than 6 orders of magnitude with a resolution down to the zg range.

The samples consist of carbon nanotubes grown via chemical vapor deposition on silicon substrates. The nanotubes stick to the surface due to van der Waals forces. Some nanotubes extend over the substrate edge, forming cantilevers. We used cantilevers with lengths between 1 and 15 $\mu$m and spring constants between $10^{-7}$ and $2.6 \times 10^{-4} \text{N/m}$ in order to investigate the robustness of our method.

All SEM and FEBID experiments were conducted in a Zeiss Auriga field emission electron microscope equipped with a gas injection system (GIS). The acceleration voltage of the electron beam was 5 kV and the typical beam current was 200 pA. The precursor gas was methylcyclopentadienyl-(trimethyl)platinum(IV) in order to grow a Pt deposit onto its formation. This is done by continuously acquiring the secondary electron (SE) current, which is detected and fed into a spectrum analyzer. Using the gas injection system (GIS), a nanoparticle is grown on the nanotube, resulting in a shift of the observed resonance frequency. This was achieved by averaging multiple frames using the fastest available scanning speed (122 ms/frame).

The mass of the Pt particle is monitored in real time during its formation. This is done by continuously acquiring the resonance spectrum of the noise-driven vibrations of the nanotube with the electron beam. We typically use large resolution bandwidth settings in order to enable a high sampling rate. Figure 1d shows a typical obtained signal used to count the frequency for the mass detection. The resolution bandwidth of the measurement in this case was BW = 3 kHz. The resonance frequency $f_{\text{res}}$ relates to the effective mass $m^e$ of the mechanical eigenmode via the equation

\[
f_{\text{res}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{k}{m^e}}
\]

where $k$ is the Boltzmann constant and $T$ is the temperature.\textsuperscript{34} Figure 1c shows the SE current profiles taken along the dashed lines marked in Figure 1b before and after the deposition with Gaussian fits, which are used to determine $\sigma_{\text{th}}$. The inferred spring constant $k = 2.1(2) \times 10^{-6} \text{N/m}$ is the same in both cases. This shows that $k$ is not affected by the deposition process, so that any permanent changes in the mechanical resonance frequency are associated with mass deposition (see further discussion below). Specific care was dedicated to avoid broadening of the observed motion probability density function, which can be induced by back-action phenomena during imaging.\textsuperscript{35} This was achieved by averaging multiple frames using the fastest available scanning speed (122 ms/frame).
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The deposition was limited to the apex of the nanotube, such that the spring strength can be reasonably assumed to remain unchanged. Therefore, the deposited mass $\Delta m(t)$ yields to a frequency shift, independent from the shape of the eigenmode

$$\Delta m(t) = k \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \left( \frac{1}{f_{\text{res},t}^2} - \frac{1}{f_{\text{res},0}^2} \right)$$

where $f_{\text{res},t}$ and $f_{\text{res},0}$ are the resonance frequencies measured during the deposition at time $t$ and prior to the deposition, respectively.\(^{3,10,14,16,18}\) In the limit of high signal-to-noise ratio, the mass determination does weakly depend on the SE emission rate. Additionally, we performed optomechanical measurements\(^{33}\) in order to gain independent confirmation of the postdeposition mechanical properties (Section 2 of Supporting Information). These measurements ensure that the electromechanical coupling has negligible impact on the mechanical resonance frequency and that the observed changes are due to mass deposition.

Figure 1f displays the corresponding evolution of the deposited mass over time. After some transient regime, the deposition becomes linear in time, allowing us to extract the deposition rate $R_{\text{dep}} = 1.98 \text{ fg/s}$. At $t \approx 11\text{ s}$, the GIS valve was closed and the beam exposure was stopped to avoid spurious growth. The resonance frequency at the end was $f_{\text{res}} = 56.1(5)\text{ kHz}$ and the total mass of the particle seen in Figure 1b is $(15.5 \pm 2.0)\text{ fg}$. Optomechanical measurements of this resonator yield to a postdeposition mechanical resonance frequency $f_0 = 57.04\text{ kHz}$ with a quality factor $Q \approx 3000$ at room temperature (Section 2 of Supporting Information). Besides further confirming the mass-induced origin of the measured frequency change, this measurement demonstrates that the deposition using FEBID does not degrade the mechanical properties of the nanotube resonator, which is crucial in the context of functionalizing nanomechanical resonators.

Using the above-described methodology, a large set of hybrid nanotube cantilevers was fabricated and characterized. Figure 2a shows the determined deposition rates and final masses of the deposited particles for each experiment. The dashed lines indicate how the deposition rate and deposited mass are related via the deposition duration. The observed variations arise from different modes of operations (see further discussion below) to which have been assigned distinct colors. Note that even within the same mode of operation, the obtained results are widely dispersed. This is because FEBID is a highly complex process where various interdependent parameters may affect the growth rate.\(^{37}\) These include the focus of the electron beam, the temperature of the substrate, the temperature and flux of the precursor molecules, and the pressure of residual gas in the chamber. The deposition rate is also affected by the amplitude of the nanotube vibrations, which may be larger than the electron-beam diameter, resulting in a net decrease of the effective deposition cross-section. The different GIS operation modes as well as illustrative results are discussed in the following.

We start with the default operation mode of the GIS, which was also used for the measurements in Figure 1. When the nozzle is opened the precursor gas is released into the chamber resulting in a strong increase of the chamber pressure. The pressure typically saturates in the range $p = (7–11) \times 10^{-6}\text{ mbar}$, while the background vacuum pressure is typically $\approx 1 \times 10^{-6}\text{ mbar}$. It results in measured deposition rates between $0.28\text{ fg/s}$ and $11\text{ fg/s}$. Figure 2b shows a typical measurement in this operation mode, demonstrating a constant deposition rate $R_{\text{dep}} = 0.34\text{ fg/s}$ over a duration as long as $50\text{ s}$. The deposited mass is more than 30 times larger than the initially measured mass of the nanotube cantilever.

We explored lower Pt deposition rates by reducing the pressure. This is achieved by first purging the GIS nozzle with...
precursor molecules and then pumping the chamber for several minutes. As such, we investigated deposition of precursor molecules in a pressure range \( p = (1-1.7) \times 10^{-6} \text{ mbar} \) resulting in observed deposition rates ranging between 0.93 ag/s and 8.5 ag/s. A typical mass deposition measurement in this low-pressure regime is displayed in Figure 2c. The SEM image after the deposition reveals a small Pt particle. The deposition rate \( R_{\text{dep}} = 0.93 \text{ag/s} \) is equivalent to roughly 2900 Pt atoms or 1800 precursor molecules per second.

The lowest deposition rates were attained by pumping the chamber for more than 24 h with the GIS nozzle closed and heated so residual precursor molecules could desorb from the nozzle and be pumped away. It is assumed that in this regime the chamber gas is predominantly composed of organic molecules resulting in e-beam induced deposition of amorphous carbon. The base pressure in this background vacuum regime was in the range \( p = (0.8-1) \times 10^{-6} \text{ mbar} \) and the observed deposition rates were between 5.8 and 77 zg/s. The lowest value \( R_{\text{dep}} = 5.8 \text{ zg/s} \) with 2 s integration time was observed in the experiment shown in Figure 2d and is equivalent to about 290 C atoms per second. Computing the Allan deviation of the resonance frequency with 2 s integration time results in an effective mass resolution of 13 zg. This estimation includes the spurious contribution of the deposition of C atoms, so that it represents an upper bound of the mass resolution of the nanotube resonator. The deposited mass of 330 zg does not result in a distinctive feature on the nanotube in the SEM images (Figure 2d, right). In this case, the electromechanical measurement enables us to reveal the evolution of the structure that is totally invisible in the SEM image. Besides controlling the growth process, this demonstrates the relevance of e-beam electromechanical coupling as a powerful complementary embedded tool to scanning electron microscopy.

We assessed the material density of a Pt particle and its chemical composition by carrying out scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) measurements. The experiments were performed using a Cc-corrected FEI Titan transmission electron microscope equipped with a FEI X-FEG high brightness Schottky emitter. The acceleration voltage was 80 kV. Chemical analysis was conducted via energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDXS) using an EDAX detector. Thickness measurements were performed by electron energy loss spectroscopy (EELS) employing a Gatan Tridiem 866 ERS energy filter.

A nanotube grown on a STEM copper grid is shown in Figure 3a,b before and after depositing a mass of 1.33 fg. In a subsequent deposition step, the nanotube was coated with material down to the clamping point to minimize the effects of motion fluctuations. During this step, we avoided exposing the particle directly to the electron beam. Figure 3c displays a high-angle annular dark-field (HAADF) image recorded in the STEM and reveals a core–shell structure. The shell appears darker than the core, suggesting that it has a lower density or a lower relative Pt content than the core particle. It is likely that the shell was formed during the second deposition step and the growth induced by secondary electrons. Previous FEBID works showed that Pt atoms assemble together to form nanometer-scale clusters inside an amorphous C matrix.

The particle composition was determined by EDXS measurements (Table 1). Platinum accounts for 52.7% of the mass of the particle. The observed oxygen content is attributed to the air molecules that diffuse into the particle during the transfer of the device from the SEM to the STEM. The atomic C/Pt ratio determined by EDXS is 12.8:1. This ratio is somewhat larger than the value 8:1 reported previously, suggesting additional amorphous carbon deposition in our experiment, especially during the second deposition step.

Next, we conducted spatially resolved low-loss EELS measurements to map the particle thickness (Figure 3d). The thickness at each point of the map was determined via the log-ratio method using the elemental composition in Table 1. We obtained the volume \( V = 5.45 \times 10^{-16} \text{ cm}^3 \) for the particle core by integrating the thickness over the map surface and by subtracting the volume of the 6 nm thick shell. This results in the density \( \rho \approx 2.44 \text{ g/cm}^3 \) for the particle core using the mass 1.33 fg. With this result, we are able to estimate the density of the amorphous C-matrix \( \rho_C = \left( \rho - \xi \rho_{\text{Pt}} \right)/(1 - \xi) \) where \( \xi \) is the normalized atomic Pt concentration in the pseudobinary composite \( \text{Pt}_x\text{C}_{1-x} \). We obtained \( \rho_C = 21.45 \text{ g/cm}^3 \) for the bulk density of Pt. Our estimation \( \rho_{\text{Pt}} \approx 0.95 \text{ g/cm}^3 \) compares well with low-quality amorphous (hydro-)carbon deposits, which are typically in the range \( 0.3-1.5 \text{ g/cm}^3 \).

Figure 3. (a,b) SEM images of a nanotube before and after the deposition of a particle with a mass \( m_{\text{dep}} = 1.33 \text{ fg} \) determined by the resonance frequency measurement. (c) High-angle annular dark-field (HAADF) STEM image of the particle. The visible darker shell is likely the result of the subsequent manipulation of the nanotube with the electron beam (see text). (d) Thickness map of the particle determined by low-loss EELS using the elemental composition of Table 1 and the log-ratio method.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>element</th>
<th>atomic fraction (%)</th>
<th>mass fraction (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>carbon</td>
<td>84.6</td>
<td>41.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>oxygen</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>platinum</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>52.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Atomic Fraction and Mass Fraction of C, Pt, and O Determined by EDXS Measurements of the Particle in Figure 3c
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The mass monitoring method opens new possibilities to study the growth of ultrathin nanostructures using FEBID.\textsuperscript{45,46} It may be applied to study how the mass deposition and the material composition depend on experimental growth parameters, such as the electron beam current, the gas-injection rate, and the precursor and substrate temperature.\textsuperscript{47} Our technique is particularly attractive to investigate transients at the beginning of the growth. The good time resolution in the monitoring of the growth rate could be used to test different growth models, for example, involving various precursor dissociation mechanisms (triggered by primary and secondary electrons), precursor coverage, or thermal effects. It may also be employed to test new precursors and to monitor purification steps aiming to improve the material quality. Furthermore, mass monitoring using our method could be applied to study the growth and the milling with a focused ion beam.

In summary, we have reported a method allowing high-resolution mass monitoring of the growth of a Pt nanoparticle on a nanotube resonator via in situ electromechanical readout in a FEBID system. The method can be readily employed in any existing SEM or STEM setup without requiring any further modification. The demonstrated mass and time resolution offers a precise control on the deposited mass to engineer nanomechanical sensors, especially since various materials can be grown with FEBID.\textsuperscript{45,46} This may lead to new advances in one- and two-dimensional\textsuperscript{20,21} magnetic force microscopy\textsuperscript{47} and magnetic resonance force microscopy.\textsuperscript{22,24,48} Our technique may also be employed with semiconducting nanowire resonators made from, for example, GaN, SiC, and InAs\textsuperscript{35,49−51} as well as microfabricated top-down resonators.\textsuperscript{5,52−58}
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